

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Sommerfeld, *Math. Ann.* **47** (1896), 317.
 [2] — *Zeitschr. f. Math. u. Phys.* **46** (1901), 11.
 [3] W. Rubinowicz, *Ann. Phys.* **53** (1917), 257.
 [4] T. J. I. A. Bromwich, *Proc. Lond. Mat. Soc.* **14** (1915), 450.
 [5] P. C. Clemmov, *Proc. Roy. Soc., A*, **205** (1950), 286.
 [6] T. B. A. Senior, *Quart. J. Mech. Appl. Math.* **IV 1** (1953), 101.
 [7] I. V. Vandakurov, *Jurn. Eksp. i Teoret. Fiz.* (in Russian) **26** (1954), 3.
 [8] R. Teisseyre, *The diffraction of a dipole field by a perfectly conducting wedge*, *Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci., Cl. III*, **3** (1955), 157.
 [9] — *The general solution for the diffraction of a dipole field by a perfectly conducting wedge*, *Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci., Cl. III*, **3** (1955), 523.

On a Generalisation of the Einstein-Infeld
 Approximation Method

by

A. TRAUTMAN

Presented by L. INFELD on April 9, 1956

1. The new approximation method formulated first by Einstein, Infeld and Hoffman [1], and developed in further works of Einstein, Infeld [2]-[5], Robertson [6], Papapetrou [7] and others (for references see [8]) is successfully used to derive the equations of motion in the general relativity theory. The method is based on expanding all functions (the metric tensor $g_{\alpha\beta}$, the energy-momentum tensor $T_{\alpha\beta}$) into Taylor series in the parameter $\lambda=1/c$ (c =velocity of light) and on introducing an auxiliary time $\tau=\lambda x^0$. In the papers quoted above, it was assumed that these series contain terms corresponding either to odd or to even powers of λ , e. g., $g_{00}=\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \lambda^{2l} g_{00}^{(l)}$ (as stated by Infeld [5]). A further assumption was that $g_{\alpha\beta}$ has the Galilean values

$$(1) \quad g_{00}^{(0)}=1, \quad g_{0k}^{(0)}=0, \quad g_{mn}^{(0)}=-\delta_{mn}$$

(Greek indices run from 0 to 3, Latin — from 1 to 3). In this paper it is shown that the first of these assumptions can be removed and the second easily generalised. An application of the modified theory will be given in a subsequent paper.

2. We use the conventional notation of the theory of relativity (e. g., summation convention). The derivatives with respect to x^{α} we denote by a stroke |, that with respect to τ or x^k by a comma, viz.:

$$\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_{\alpha}} = \varphi|_{\alpha}, \quad \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x^0} = \varphi|_0 = \lambda \varphi_{,0} = \lambda \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \tau}, \quad \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x^k} = \varphi|_k = \varphi_{,k}.$$

(a) when written under a letter denotes $\delta_{0\alpha}$.

The gravitational field equations we shall write in the form

$$(2) \quad R_{\alpha\beta} = \kappa (T_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{2} g_{\alpha\beta} T),$$

where

$$(3) \quad R_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{2} g^{\mu\nu} (g_{\alpha\mu|\beta\nu} + g_{\beta\mu|\alpha\nu} - g_{\alpha\beta|\mu\nu} - g_{\mu\nu|\alpha\beta}) + g^{\mu\nu} g^{\sigma\alpha} (\Gamma_{\nu\alpha\sigma} \Gamma_{\mu\alpha\beta} - \Gamma_{\nu\alpha\beta} \Gamma_{\mu\alpha\sigma}),$$

$T_{\alpha\beta}$ denotes the energy-momentum tensor, $T = T_a^a$, and

$$\Gamma_{\alpha\beta\gamma} = \frac{1}{2} (g_{\alpha\beta|\gamma} + g_{\gamma\alpha|\beta} - g_{\beta\gamma|\alpha}).$$

We assume that in the region of the x^ν -space, where we look for the field, we can develop $g_{\alpha\beta}$, $g^{\alpha\beta}$ and $T_{\alpha\beta}$ into power series:

$$(4) \quad g_{\alpha\beta} = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \lambda^l g_{\alpha\beta}^l, \quad g^{\alpha\beta} = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \lambda^l g^{\alpha\beta l}, \quad T_{\alpha\beta} = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \lambda^l T_{\alpha\beta}^l.$$

From $g_{\alpha\gamma} g^{\gamma\beta} = \delta_\alpha^\beta$ we obtain

$$(5) \quad g_{\alpha\gamma} g^{\gamma\beta} = \delta_\alpha^\beta,$$

$$(6) \quad \sum_{k=0}^n g_{\alpha\gamma} g^{\gamma\beta} = 0, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

From (5) and (6) we can evaluate $g^{\alpha\beta}$ as a function of the $g_{\alpha\beta}^0, g_{\alpha\beta}^1, \dots, g_{\alpha\beta}^n$ and $g^{\alpha\beta}$ (or vice-versa). The result is

$$(7) \quad g^{\alpha\beta} = \sum_l (-1)^p g^{\alpha\nu_1} g_{\nu_1\nu_2} g^{\nu_2\nu_3} g_{\nu_3\nu_4} \dots g_{\nu_{2p}\nu_{2p-1}} g^{\nu_{2p-1}\nu_{2p}}.$$

The sum in (7) is to be taken over all (ordered) sets of positive integers k_1, k_2, \dots, k_p such that $k_1 + k_2 + \dots + k_p = l$. Assuming for $R_{\alpha\beta}$ an expansion similar to that of $T_{\alpha\beta}$, we have

$$(8) \quad R_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^l g^{\mu\nu} (g_{\alpha\mu|\beta\nu} + g_{\beta\mu|\alpha\nu} - g_{\alpha\beta|\mu\nu} - g_{\mu\nu|\alpha\beta}) + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{k=0}^l \sum_{j=0}^k \sum_{i=0}^j g^{\mu\nu} g^{\sigma\alpha} [(g_{\nu\alpha,\sigma} + g_{\sigma\nu,\alpha} - g_{\alpha\sigma,\nu}) (g_{\mu\beta,\sigma} + g_{\sigma\mu,\beta} - g_{\sigma\beta,\mu}) + (g_{\nu\alpha,\beta} + g_{\beta\nu,\alpha} - g_{\alpha\beta,\nu}) (g_{\mu\sigma,\alpha} + g_{\mu\sigma,\alpha} - g_{\sigma\sigma,\mu})].$$

Equation (8) gives, together with (7), an explicit expression of $R_{\alpha\beta}$ as a function of the $g^{\alpha\beta}$'s and their derivatives. The Einstein equations (2) are equivalent to a set of equations:

$$(9.l.\alpha\beta) \quad R_{\alpha\beta} = \kappa (T_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^l \sum_{j=0}^k g_{\alpha\beta} g^{\mu\nu} T_{\mu\nu}^j), \quad l = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

3. Let us assume that in our co-ordinate system the tensor $g_{\alpha\beta}^0$ has the form:

$$(10) \quad g_{\alpha\beta}^0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & g_{ik} \end{pmatrix},$$

where $g_{ik} = g_{ik}(x^r)$, and that the x^k -space with the metric g_{ik} is (for each $x^0 = \text{const.}$) Euclidean. This means that we assume a flat space-time in the zero-order approximation, and use inertial but in general non-cartesian co-ordinate systems.

From these assumptions we have $R_{\alpha\beta} = 0$ ($R_{ik} = 0$ follows from the fact that g_{ik} is Euclidean), which implies, by virtue of (9.0. $\alpha\beta$), that $T_{\alpha\beta} = 0$. The set of functions g_{mn} (or R_{mn}) ($m, n = 1, 2, 3$) forms a covariant tensor with respect to transformations of the 3-dimensional space: $x^k \rightarrow x'^k$ (not involving λ). Likewise g_{0n} (or R_{0n}) is a 3-vector and g_{00} (or R_{00}) is a scalar. We shall denote by a semicolon the covariant differentiation in the x^k -space with metric g_{ik} . From (8) we get

$$(11) \quad R_{00} = -\frac{1}{2} g^{mn} (g_{00,mn} - g^{rs} g_{mr,s} g_{00,n} + \frac{1}{2} g^{rs} g_{rs,m} g_{00,n}) + R'_{00} = -\frac{1}{2} g^{mn} g_{00;mn} + R'_{00} = \frac{1}{2} \Delta g_{00} + R'_{00},$$

$$(12) \quad R_{0k} = \frac{1}{2} g^{mn} (g_{0m;kn} - g_{0k;mn}) + R'_{0k},$$

$$(13) \quad R_{ik} = \frac{1}{2} g^{mn} (g_{im;kn} - g_{ik;mn} + g_{nk;mi} - g_{mn;ki}) - \frac{1}{2} g_{00;ik} + R'_{ik}.$$

The functions $R'_{\alpha\beta}$ depend only on $g_{\mu\nu}, g_{\mu\nu}^1, \dots, g_{\mu\nu}^{l-1}$ and their derivatives, and can be explicitly evaluated from (8). The right-hand side of (9.l. $\alpha\beta$) does not involve $g_{\alpha\beta}$, because $T_{\alpha\beta} = 0$. Thus we see that $g_{\alpha\beta}$ enters in (9.l. $\alpha\beta$) linearly and only through the terms written explicitly in (11)-(13). An essential fact is that the equations (9.l. $\alpha\beta$) do not involve the time derivatives of $g_{\alpha\beta}$.

Equation (9.l. 00) has a unique solution providing the x^ν -space is pseudo-Euclidean at infinity. This is not, however, the case with equations (9.l. αk). If $g_{\alpha\beta}$ is a solution of (9.l. $\alpha\beta$), then $g_{\alpha\beta}^*$ defined by the relations

$$(14) \quad \begin{aligned} g_{00}^* &= g_{00}, \\ g_{0k}^* &= g_{0k} + \varphi_{0;k}, \\ g_{ik}^* &= g_{ik} + \varphi_{i;k} + \varphi_{k;i}, \end{aligned}$$

(φ_a - arbitrary functions) is also a solution of these equations. This can be easily seen from (11)-(13). The existence of several solutions of the field equations is in conformity with the general principle of relativity;

the transformation $g_{\alpha\beta} \rightarrow g_{\alpha\beta}^*$ can be regarded as resulting from a co-ordinate transformation [9].

Assuming certain conditions for the co-ordinate system, e. g.,

$$(15) \quad g_{\alpha\beta}^* g_{\alpha\beta} = 0, \quad g_{\alpha\beta}^* (g_{k\alpha} g_{\beta\alpha} - \frac{1}{2} g_{\alpha\alpha} g_{\beta\beta}) = 0 \quad (k=1, 2, 3),$$

we can simplify the expression for $R_{i\beta}$, which becomes, in the case of (15):

$$(16) \quad R_{\alpha 0} = -\frac{1}{2} g_{\alpha\beta}^* g_{\alpha\beta} g_{\alpha\beta} + R'_{\alpha 0}, \\ R_{ik} = -\frac{1}{2} g_{\alpha\beta}^* g_{ik} g_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{2} g_{\alpha\alpha}^* g_{\beta\beta} g_{ik} + R'_{ik}.$$

We conclude with a simple remark which can be useful in applying the method.

Let us take a flat x^ν -space (hence $T_{\alpha\beta} = 0$); this means that there exists a Galilean co-ordinate system in which $g_{\alpha\beta}$ has the form (1). Let us now introduce a non-inertial co-ordinate system $x^{\nu'}$, defined by $\tau = \tau'$ (i. e. $x^0 = x^{0'}$), $x^k = x^k(\tau', x^{k'})$. We have for $g_{\alpha'\beta'}$:

$$g_{0'0'} = g_{\alpha\beta} x_{\alpha'}^{\alpha} x_{\beta'}^{\beta} = g_{00} + \lambda^2 g_{ik} x_{\alpha'}^i x_{\beta'}^k = 1 - \lambda^2 \delta_{ik} x_{\alpha'}^i x_{\beta'}^k, \\ g_{0'k'} = g_{\alpha\beta} x_{\alpha'}^{\alpha} x_{\beta'}^{\beta} = -\lambda \delta_{ik} x_{\alpha'}^i x_{\beta'}^k, \\ g_{i'k'} = g_{\alpha\beta} x_{\alpha'}^{\alpha} x_{\beta'}^{\beta} = -\delta_{ik} x_{\alpha'}^i x_{\beta'}^k.$$

Thus, in a general non-inertial co-ordinate system, the metric tensor $g_{\alpha\beta}$ can be written $g_{\alpha\beta} = g_{\alpha\beta} + \lambda g_{\alpha\beta} + \lambda^2 g_{\alpha\beta}$, where $g_{\alpha\beta}$ has the form (10),

$$g_{\alpha\beta} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & g_{0k} \\ g_{i0} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{and} \quad g_{\alpha\beta} = \begin{pmatrix} g_{00} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

I wish to express my thanks to Professor J. Plebański for suggesting the problem, and for many valuable discussions. I am also indebted to Professor L. Infeld for his kind interest in this work.

INSTITUTE OF PHYSICS, POLISH ACADEMY OF SCIENCES

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Einstein, L. Infeld, B. Hoffmann, Ann. Math. **39** (1938), 66.
- [2] A. Einstein, L. Infeld, Ann. Math. **41** (1940), 455.
- [3] — Can. J. Math. **1** (1949), 205.
- [4] L. Infeld, Acta Phys. Polon. **13** (1954), 187.
- [5] — Phys. Rev. **53** (1938), 836.
- [6] H. P. Robertson, Ann. Math. **39** (1938), 101.
- [7] A. Papapetrou, Proc. Phys. Soc. (London) A **64** (1951), 57.
- [8] A. E. Scheidegger, Rev. Mod. Ph. **25** (1953), 451.
- [9] L. Infeld, A. E. Scheidegger, Can. J. Math. **3** (1951), 195.

Solution of One-Body Problem by the Einstein-Infeld Approximation Method

by

A. TRAUTMAN

Presented by L. INFELD on April 9, 1956

In the previous paper [1] we presented a generalisation of the "new approximation method". In this paper we shall use that method to evaluate the gravitational field of a point mass, using the notation of [1]. We define $T_{\alpha\beta}$ so that $\kappa = 8\pi k$, where $k = 6.67 \cdot 10^{-8} \text{cm}^3 \text{g}^{-1} \text{sec}^{-2}$ (it is perhaps more usual to put $\kappa = 8\pi k c^{-2}$). The energy-momentum tensor for a point mass will be represented by an expression involving the three-dimensional Dirac δ -function. This method of representing singularities was introduced by Infeld [2].

We assume

$$(1) \quad g_{\alpha\beta} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -r^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -r^2 \sin^2 \theta \end{pmatrix}$$

and denote

$$x^1 = r, \quad x^2 = \vartheta, \quad x^3 = \varphi.$$

Further,

$$(2) \quad T_{\alpha\beta}^0 = \frac{1}{c^4} m c^2 \delta(\vec{r}) = m \lambda^2 \delta(\vec{r}) = \lambda^2 T_{\alpha\beta}^0, \\ T_{\alpha\beta}^0 = 0 \quad \text{if} \quad \alpha + \beta \neq 0.$$

We also assume that:

(3) the metric $g_{\alpha\beta}$ is pseudo-Euclidean at infinity;

$$(4) \quad g_{22} = g_{33} = g_{12} = g_{13} = g_{23} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad g_{11,2} = g_{11,3} = 0 \quad (\text{"spherical symmetry"})$$